

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/808,214	03/24/2004	Matthew R. Cook	10773/65	3931
	7590 07/30/2007 ER GILSON & LIONE	•	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 10395 ELKINS, GARY E		GARY E		
CHICAGO, IL	60610		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3782	
		,		
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/808,214	COOK ET AL.				
a moor to do a minute	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Gary E. Elkins	3782				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sneet with the c	orrespondence addres	SS			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr  iiii apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  Cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this commu				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Ma	<u>ay 2007</u> .					
	action is non-final.					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7,20-22 and 24-27 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7,25 and 26 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 20-22,24 and 27 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the consequence of the conseque	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stag	ge			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te				

Art Unit: 3782

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 20-22 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Strauss. Strauss discloses a container including a lid 9, 9 formed of at least two "parts", i.e. one section 9 of the lid is formed of at least two "parts". The lid 9, 9 covers the top opening of the container and is capable of being positioned with one section 9 covering a portion of the top opening and the other section 9 open. The handle 12 includes first and second slots capable of engaging with first and second tabs 19 on the container to secure one of the lid sections 9 in the partially covering second position of the lid as claimed. With respect to claim 24, the fold lines 10, 10 enable folding the lid upon itself when the tabs and slots are secured.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Strauss in view of Powell. Strauss discloses all structure of the claimed container except a second container within the first container. Powell teaches the well known concept of packaging smaller containers

Art Unit: 3782

within a larger container. It would have been obvious to package a smaller container within the larger container of Strauss as taught by Powell as a mere choice of what one wishes to package within the container. The selection of one or more smaller containers as contents for a larger container is a notoriously well known concept in this art.

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1-7, 25 and 26 are allowed.

### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 20-22, 24 and 27 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/808,214

Art Unit: 3782

Page 4

Gary E. Elkins
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3782

gee 23 July 2007